

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/830,030	04/20/2001	04/20/2001 Yukihito Ichikawa		9377
27890 STEPTOE & JO	7590 03/27/2007 OHNSON LLP		EXAMINER	
	TICUT AVENUE, N.W	7.	LEUNG, JENNIFER A	
WASHINGTON, DC 20036			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1764	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/27/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTOL-90A (Rev. 10/06)

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	<del>- V</del> -	
09/830,030	ICHIKAWA ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Jennifer A. Leung	1764		

	Jennifer A. Leung	1764					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 28 February 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mo	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	ice, which FR 41.31; or (3)				
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejecti	on.				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as				
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th					
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered b	ecanse				
(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	nsideration and/or search (see NO		ecause				
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	•	ducing or simplifying	the issues for				
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: <u>see Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1		ected claims.					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)	):						
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>		-					
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		II be entered and an e	explanation of				
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,4-11,16-18 and 36</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>12-15,19-32 and</u> <u>AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE</u>	<u>34</u> .						
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>							
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	ils to provide a 1).				
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attac	hed.				
11.   The request for reconsideration has been considered by of the same reasons set forth in the final Office Action.		n condition for allowa	nce because:				
12. $\square$ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)						
13. Other:							
	-						

Art Unit: 1764

## Continuation of Item 3.

The proposed amendment to claim 36, which calls for the "second wall face portion having a flat shape <u>alternating</u> with said first wall face portions having an undulated shape" raises a new issue that requires further consideration and/or search.

To "intermingle" (as previously presented) means to mingle, mix or blend one with the other, or to intermix.

To be "alternating" (as currently amended) means to interchange repeatedly and regularly with one another, as in every second one of a series.

The amendment raises a new issue because the terms "intermingle" and "alternating" are defined differently, and are therefore not interchangeable as synonyms.

Jennifer A. Leung March 22, 2007

GLENN A. CALDAROLA PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1100 Page 2

TC1760